

# Optimal Traversability Analysis for the Safety of Robots Displacements

Johann Laconte<sup>1,2</sup>, Roland Chapuis<sup>1</sup>, François Pomerleau<sup>2</sup>, Christophe Debain<sup>3</sup>, Romuald Aufrère<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut Pascal, Université Clermont Auvergne

<sup>2</sup> Northern Robotics Laboratory, Université Laval

<sup>3</sup> Irstea, Clermont-Ferrand



PASCAL

# **Context & Motivations**

In a context of autonomous mobile robots, we want to 1. Evolve in the environment without taking too much 'risk' 2. Prove that the robot takes a 'risk' below a certain threshold 3. Define mathematically the notion of risk

# **Occupancy Grids**

► LIght Detection And Ranging (lidar) are often used to estimate the traversability of the environment [1].



# **Risk Assessment in Lambda-Field**

► The strength of the Lambda-Field is its ability to compute path-integrals. Under the assumption of small cells, we are able to compute the expectation of a risk r(X) over a path crossing the cells  $\{c_i\}_{0:N}$ , where X is a random variable which stands for the position of the collision:

$$\mathbb{E}[r(X)] = \sum_{i=0}^{N} r(Ai) \exp\left(-A \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \lambda_j\right) \left(1 - \exp(-A\lambda_i)\right)$$
(4)

The risk function r(X) can take several shapes:  $\triangleright r(X) = 1$  leads to the probability of collision for a given path.  $\triangleright r(X) = m_R \cdot v(X)$ , where  $m_R$  is the mass of the robot and v(X) its

Using lasers, they measure the distance to the closest obstacle for several orientations.



Figure 2: Example of occupancy grid

## Lambda-Field: A Continuous Counterpart for Risk Assessment

- Occupancy grids are however not fitted to assess the probability of collision.
- The probability of collision indeed depends on the size of the cells,



# Figure 1: Lidar LMS-1xx

- The traversability is represented by cells of fixed size containing the probability of occupancy.
- The robot wants to go to the goal (red dot) while minimizing the probability of collision.

 $\mathcal{P}(coll) = 0.34$ 

 $\mathcal{P}(coll) = 0.19$ 

velocity at X, leads to the expected force of collision the robot will encounter in the path for static obstacles (walls, ...).

## Simulations: Robot-Follower Scenario

- ► The robot (black & white box) has to follow the pedestrian (green dot) knowing only its position (not the environment nor its future path).
- ► The robot samples trajectories every second, and chooses one such that it is sure at 95% that the expected collision is below  $1 \text{ kg m s}^{-1}$  and stays as close as possible to the pedestrian.





Figure 5: Simulation of a robot-follower scenario

Figure 3: The robot wants to cross the same field

0.1

0.1

0.1

0.1

0.1

0.1

#### with different discretisation sizes. which is counterintuitive.

We introduce the concept of Lambda-Field, which allows the computation of path integrals over a field.

For a positive real-valued field  $\lambda(s), s \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , the probability to encounter at least one obstacle in a path  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is

$$\mathbb{P}(\texttt{coll}|\mathcal{P}) = 1 - \exp\left(-\int_{\mathcal{P}} \lambda(s) ds\right) \approx 1 - \exp\left(-\mathcal{A}\sum_{c_i \in \mathcal{C}} \lambda_i\right)$$
 (1)

where the approximation is valid for a discretization of the field into cells of area  $\mathcal{A}$  and the path  $\mathcal{P}$  crosses the cells  $c_i \in \mathcal{C}$ .



Figure 4: Example of lambda-field. The robot want to cross the path in blue, where each cell has an area of  $0.04m^2$ .

Using Equation 1, the probability of collision for the blue path is

 $1 - \exp(-0.04(58 \cdot 0.1 + 1 \cdot 2)) \approx 0.27$ 

## **Construction of the Lambda-Field**



Figure 6: Expected risk (purple) taken by the robot, with its upper bound at 95% in blue. The risk is always below the maximum allowed (dashed-red).

- At t = 6 s (Figure 5b), the pedestrian goes through a passage too narrow for the robot. The risk being too high, the robot choose to go around the obstacle.
- The robot rejoins the pedestrian after the narrow passage. The upper limit risk is higher for t > 6 s because the robot has to raise its speed to quickly reach the pedestrian.

## **Experimentations & Future Works**



Figure 7: Left: Robot used in experimentations.

- ► We implemented our method onto a real robot, leading to promising results [2].
- Future works will add dynamic obstacles, as well as a better risk function. It is indeed far more dangerous to hit a pedestrian

than tall grass!

Under the assumption that the error region e of the lidar is small, the  $\lambda_i$ that maximizes the expectation is

$$\lambda_i = \frac{1}{e} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{h_i}{m_i} \right)$$

- where  $h_i$  (resp.  $m_i$ ) is the number of times the cell has been counted as 'hit' (resp 'miss').
- ► We also define confidence intervals over the lambda field, such that

 $\mathbb{P}(\lambda_L \leq \lambda_i \leq \lambda_U) \geq 95\%$ 

(3)

(2)

Right: Lambda-Map created while the robot nav-

igates in the environment

## Acknowledgments

This work has been sponsored by the French government research program 'Investissements' d'Avenir' through the IDEX-ISITE initiative 16-IDEX-0001 (CAP 20-25), the IMobS3 Laboratory of Excellence (ANR-10-LABX-16-01) and the RobotEx Equipment of Excellence (ANR-10-EQPX-44). This research was also financed by the European Union through the Regional Competitiveness and Employment program 2014-2020 (ERDF-AURA region) and by the AURA region.



## References

[1] Alberto Elfes. Using occupancy grids for mobile robot perception and navigation. *Computer*, 6:46–57, 1989.

[2] Johann Laconte, Christophe Debain, Roland Chapuis, François Pomerleau, and Romuald Aufrère. Lambda-Field: A Continuous Counterpart of the Bayesian Occupancy Grid for Risk Assessment. Submitted to IROS 2019.

Journée Scientifique, EDSPI 2019

### johann.laconte@uca.fr